

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office

2 MF 6 V1 MH 2

APPORTATION NO	+1,4N+,2V1;	(1887 NAME : (1874 N.1) #	ATTOMNEY THE REE NO	1 x 12/2 flas/17 (1) 12/2/2
08 75 1.5.15	[2/29/2000]	Vena des in Marali	42 Sea , P. 31 Supa	××4.
. = _{>} ,	S #			
BLAKELY SOKOLOFF TAYLOR & ZAFMAN			EXAMINER	
12400 WILSHIRE BOUTEVARD, SEVENTH FLOOR LOS ANGELES, CA - 90025			KIANNI, KAVEH (

DATE MAILED 07 08 2003

Please find below and or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	•	Application No	App	olicant(s)
		09/751.516	MU	RALI. VENKATESAN
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art	Unit
		Kevin C Kianni	287	7
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commu or Reply	unication appears on the cove	er sheet with the corres	spondence address
THE I Externation fithe fithe fithe fithe Any r earne	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUI insigns of time may be available under the provision SIX (6) MONTHS from the making date of this compensation for reply specified above is easithan thirty period for reply is specified above the maximum reto reply within the set or extended period for reply received by the Office letter than three month or patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1-704 (p.	NICATION. ns of 37 CFR 1 136(a) In no elent inolament action (30) days, a reply within the statutory m statutory period will appropriation by will, by statute, cause the application	vever, ma, a reply be timely file rilmum of thirty (30) days will be a SIX 60 MONTHS from the ma to become ABANDONED, 350	ed e considered timely bling date of this pommunillation U.S.C. § 1337
Status				
1)	Responsive to communication(s)		_	
2a)	This action is FINAL .	2b)⊡ This action is non-		
3) Dispositi	Since this application is in conditional closed in accordance with the praint on of Claims			
-	Claim(s) 1-10 is/are pending in the	e application.		
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is		ration.	
5)[Claim(s) 9 is/are allowed.			
	Cla m(s) <u>1.2.4-8 and 10</u> is/are reje	cted.		
	Claim(s) 3 is/are objected to.			
	Claim(s) are subject to restr	riction and/or election require	ement.	
	on Papers	,		
9) 🗌 .	The specification is objected to by t	he Examiner.		
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are	e: a)□ accepted or b)□ objec	ted to by the Examiner	۲.
	Applicant may not request that any o	bjection to the drawing(s) be he	eld in abeyance. See 37	CFR 1.85(a).
11)🗔 -	The proposed drawing correction fil	ed on <u>07 February 2003</u> is: a	a)⊠ approved b)⊡ di	sapproved by the Examiner
	If approved, corrected drawings are r	required in reply to this Office a	ction.	
12)	The oath or declaration is objected	to by the Examiner.		
Priority L	ınder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	m for foreign priority under 3	5 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d)	or (f)
a)[☐ A'I b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:			
	1. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been rec	eived.	
	2. Certified copies of the priorit	y documents have been rec	eived in Application No	0
* S	3 Copies of the certified copies application from the Intelee the attached detailed Office act	rnational Bureau (PCT Rule	17.2(a)).	this National Stage
	scknowledgment is made of a claim			a provisional application)
а)	anguage provisional applicat	tion has been received	1.
Attachmen		, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	y 33 120 and	· · · -
- II Nata	e of References Otep (PTO-882) wof Draftsperson's Potent Drawing Review nation Disposure Statement's (PTO-144),	4) [] (PTO-948)	nter, ew Summar, (970) Notice of informal Patent Other	4413 Paper N. Is Application P™QU152¥

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

Reason for allowance/Allowable Subject Matter

1. Claim 9 is allowed since the prior art, taken alone or in combination, in combination with other limitations of the base claim does not teach a detector of electromagnetic radiation disposed at the end of said first substrate.

Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. Claim 3 is objected because the prior art taken alone or in combination, in combination with other limitations of the base claim does not teach wherein said transparent material is cladding grown on the inside of the substrate.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 3. Claims 1-2, 4-8 and 10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cotteverte et al. (US 6542682).

Regarding claims 1-2, 4-8 and 10 Cotteverte teaches an apparatus (shown at least in figure 10; see abstract) comprising: a first substrate 72 having a first opening therethrough (see fig.10; items openings/holes in substrate 72; see col. 3, lines 8-12 and col. 2, lines 3-8); a second substrate 71, attached to said first substrate 72, having

Art Unit: 2877

a second opening therethrough 70 and aligned with the first opening in said first substrate (shown in fig. 10, item 72 and 71); a first materially transparent material/gas disposed in said first substrate hole (see col. 5, lines 10-23 and col. 17, lines 29-43; wherein air/fluid are optically transparent material), and a second optically transparent material/gas disposed in said second substrate hole (see col. 5, lines 10-23 and col. 17, lines 29-43; wherein air/fluid are optically transparent material); wherein the first optically transparent material is optically aligned with the second optically transparent material to form a waveguide (see abstract and col. 4, lines 50-64); wherein said transparent material is composed of an outer cladding 71 and a separate inner transparent material (see col. 5, lines 10-23 and col. 17, lines 29-43; wherein air/fluid are optically transparent material); wherein the first substrate is made of silicon (see col. 8, lines 27-33); wherein said transparent material and said first substrate are made of a same material (col. 8, lines 27-40); a source of electromagnetic radiation attached to said first substrate (see fig. 13, item 96; col. 3, line 65-col. 4, line 7).

However, (A) wherein said transparent material is an optical fiber; (B) a conductive layer on said second substrate. Nevertheless, Cotteverte states that the above optical apparatus includes optical fiber communications switching modules and equipment wherein optical fiber for routing light signal is employed (col. 18, lines 25-33); and wherein the apparatus can include a periodic metallic elements (col. 1, lines 19-22). Thus, it is would have been obvious to a person of ordinary skill in the art when the invention was made to modify Cotteverte's optical apparatus shown in at least fig. 12, by inserting optical fiber into via(s) 18 and have a conductive material layer/device on

Art Unit: 2877

Page 4

any layer of the optical device since the resultant optical system provides a multilevel waveguide system that is amenable to wide variety of materials and manufacturing processes as desired (see col. 4, lines 43-63).

Response to Amendment

4. Applicant's arguments filed on March 31, 2003 have been fully considered and, except for claims and 9, the examiner has used a newly found prior art in order to overcome applicant's amendments/arguments.

Art Unit: 2877

Contact Information

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Kaveh Cyrus Kianni whose telephone number is (703) 308-1216. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday from 8:30 a.m. to 6:00 p.m. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Frank Font, can be reached at (703) 308-4881.

Any response to this action should be mailed to:

Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks Washington, D.C. 20231

or faxed to:

(703) 308-7722, (for formal communications intended for entry)

or:

(703) 308-7721, (for informal or draft communications, please label "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT")

Hand delivered responses should be brought to Crystal Plaza 4, 2021 South Clark Place, Arlington, VA., Fourth Floor (Receptionist).

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application should be directed to the Group Receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

Kevin Cyrus Kianni Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 28⁻⁻

June 12, 2003

Frank Font Supervisory Patent Examiner Group Art Unit 2877

Frank St Fort